

FIRST RECORD OF *BIECHELERIA BREVISULCATA* (SUESSIACEAE, DINOPHYCEAE) FROM ARGENTINA

Inés Sunesen^{1,*} (D), Francisco Rodríguez² (D), Jonás A. Tardivo Kubis¹ (D), Delfina Aguiar Juárez¹ (D) & Eugenia A. Sar^{1,**} (D)

¹ División Ficología "Dr. Sebastián A. Guarrera", FCNyM, UNLP, Paseo del Bosque s/n, 1900 La Plata, Argentina; CONICET, Argentina; * isunesen@fcnym.unlp.edu.ar ** easar@fcnym.unlp.edu.ar (authors for correspondence). ² Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO-CSIC), Subida a Radio Faro 50, 36390 Vigo, Spain.

Abstract. Sunesen, I.; F. Rodríguez, J. A. Tardivo Kubis, D. Aguiar Juárez & E. A. Sar. 2023. First record of *Biecheleria* brevisulcata (Suessiaceae, Dinophyceae) from Argentina. Darwiniana, nueva serie 11(1): 337-346.

In the framework of a phytoplankton and biotoxin monitoring program implemented since 2008 in marine coastal waters of the Buenos Aires Province (Argentina), the strain LPCc022 of a nanoplanktonic, thin-walled woloszynskioid dinoflagellate, has been isolated. LSU rDNA-based phylogeny showed that the isolated strain belonged to a molecular clade corresponding with of *Biecheleria brevisulcata*. *B. brevisulcata* can be differentiated by light microscopy from the species *B. baltica*, *B. halophila*, *B. cincta* and *B. tirezensis* based on the location of the nucleus in the cell, the size range of the cells, and the environments they inhabit. This is the first report of the genus *Biecheleria* and the species *B. brevisulcata* in Argentina and overall South-western Atlantic waters.

Keywords. Biecheleria; molecular characterization; morphological characterization; phylogeny; Suessiaceae.

Resumen. Sunesen, I.; F. Rodríguez, J. A. Tardivo Kubis, D. Aguiar Juárez & E. A. Sar. 2023. Primer reporte de *Biecheleria brevisulcata* (Suessiaceae, Dinophyceae) para Argentina. *Darwiniana*, nueva serie 11(1): 337-346.

En el marco de un programa de monitoreo de fitoplancton y biotoxinas implementado desde 2008 en aguas marinas costeras de la Provincia de Buenos Aires (Argentina), ha sido aislada la cepa LPCc022 de un dinoflagelado woloszynskioide, nanoplanctónico, de paredes delgadas. La filogenia basada en LSU rDNA mostró que la cepa aislada pertenecía a un clado molecular correspondiente a *Biecheleria brevisulcata. B. brevisulcata* puede ser diferenciada mediante microscopía óptica de las especies *B. baltica, B. halophila, B. cincta y B. tirezensis* sobre la base de la ubicación del núcleo en la célula, el rango de tamaño de las células y los ambientes que habitan. Este es el primer reporte del género *Biecheleria* y la especie *B. brevisulcata* en Argentina y en general en aguas del Atlántico sudoccidental.

Palabras clave. Biecheleria; caracterización molecular; caracterización morfológica; filogenia; Suessiaceae.

INTRODUCTION

Dinoflagellates have a cell covering, the amphiesma, formed by a single layer of flattened amphiesmal vesicles placed beneath the cell membrane. These vesicles can contain cellulosic plates in the case of armored/thecate dinoflagellates (absent in unarmored/athecate dinoflagellates), or can contain very thin plates in the so-called "thin walled" dinoflagellates (Fensome et al., 1993). The latter, also known as woloszynskioids (Moestrup et al., 2009a, b; Takahashi et al., 2014), include diverse genera such as *Protodinium* Lohmann (Lohmann, 1908),

Symbiodinium Freudenthal (Freudenthal, 1962), Polarella M. Montresor, G. Procaccini & D. K. Stoecker (Montresor et al., 1999), Biecheleria Moestrup, Lindberg & Daugbjerg (Moestrup et al., 2009a), Biecheleriopsis Moestrup, Lindberg & Daugbjerg (Moestrup et al., 2009b), Pelagodinium Siano, Montresor, Probert & de Vargas (Siano et al., 2010), Ansanella H. J. Jeong, S. H. Jang, Moestrup & N. S. Kang (Jeong et al., 2014), Yihiella S. H. Jang, H. J. Jeong, Moestrup & N. S. Kang (Jang et al., 2017), among others.

Most woloszynskioids inhabit marine or brackish waters but remain frequently overlooked in plankton samples. In that sense, morphological and molecular information are available for many species, given their relatively recent descriptions. Their identification with light microscopy is arduous due to their small size and morphological resemblance (Moestrup et al., 2009a, b; Ruiz-de la Torre et al., 2022), while their morphological examination with scanning and transmission electron microscopy (SEM and TEM) requires laborious treatment (Balzano et al., 2012; Raho et al., 2018). Thus, molecular analysis using ribosomal and mitochondrial marker regions is often needed to reveal the genetic diversity of woloszynskioids and distinguish them at species level (Benico et al., 2019; Jang et al., 2022).

In the framework of a phytoplankton and biotoxins monitoring program in marine coastal waters of the Buenos Aires Province, a nanoplanktonic, thin-walled woloszynskioid dinoflagellate, was isolated in 2018 and established into culture. The aim of the present study was to provide the specific identification of strain LPCc022, using molecular (LSU rDNA sequencing) and morphological analyses.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Strain isolation and culture

The clonal strain of a planktonic woloszynskioid (LPCc022) was established from a surface sample collected with a 30 μ m mesh net hauls in marine coastal waters of Mar Azul at about 10 to 20 m from the shoreline.

Examined material: ARGENTINA, Buenos Aires Province, Villa Gesell District, Mar Azul Locality (MAZ) (37° 20' 38" S; 57° 01' 31" W), 9 January 2018, Strain LPCc022, isolated from field material label LPC 11524. The water temperature and salinity of Mar Azul was estimated based on averages of seven values of each variable taken between December and February (unpublished data) obtained with an multiparameter probe Hanna HI 9828 (Hanna, USA), temperature 23.7 °C (\pm 1.94) and salinity 33.57 (\pm 0.55).

Single cells were isolated the day after sampling by micropipette using a Zeiss Axiovert 40 CFL inverted microscope with phase contrast and differential interference contrast (DIC) (Zeiss Microimaging, Goettingen, Germany). Individual cells were washed several times in local filtered seawater and when free of contaminants they were transferred into 6-well tissue culture plates containing 10 ml natural seawater enriched with Guillard's f/2 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, USA). Cells were incubated at 16°C, at salinity of 30, and under light supplied by coolwhite fluorescent tubes with irradiance of 100-125 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ on a 12:12 light:dark regime, in a growth chamber (SEMEDIC I-290F, SEMEDIC SRL, CABA, Argentina). After successful isolation, culture was scaled up to 40 ml medium in 100 ml flasks and incubated in the described conditions.

Microscopy

For light microscopy (LM) analyses, live cells of strain LPCc022 were observed using a Leica DMLA microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with DIC. For the study of the thecal plate arrangement, specimens were stained with calcofluor white (Fluorescent Brightener 28, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) following Fritz & Triemer (1985). Photographs were taken with the digital camera AxioCam 2008 (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany). Unfortunately, the strain LPCc022 was lost while adjusting the procedure for scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis, precluding its examination with this technique.

DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

An aliquot of 1.5 ml of late exponential growing culture of strain LPCc022 was taken and concentrated by centrifugation, washed in two drops of milli-Q water, placed in 200 μ l microtubes, cold

shocked in liquid nitrogen and kept at -20°C until further analysis. DNA extraction used Chelex® chelating resin (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA), following Richlen & Barber (2005). DNA extracts were kept at -20°C before PCR analyses. The D1-D2 domains of the LSU rRNA gene regions were amplified using the pair of primers D1R/ D2C (5'-ACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCATA-3'/5'-ACGAACGATTTGCACGTCAG-3', Lenaers et al., 1989).

The amplification reaction mixtures (20 μ l) were performed using Horse-Power[™] Tag DNA Polymerase MasterMix (Canvax, Spain) following manufacturer's instructions. DNA was amplified in an Eppendorf Mastercycler EP5345 (Eppendorf AG, New York, USA). PCR reactions were checked by agarose gel electrophoresis (1% TAE, 80 V) and GelRed[™] nucleic acid gel staining (Biotium, Hayward, CA, USA). PCR products were purified with ExoSAP-IT[™] (USB Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, USA). Sequencing reactions were performed using the Big Dye Terminator v3.1 reaction cycle sequencing kit and migrated in a SeqStudio genetic analyzer (both at Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) at the CACTI sequencing facilities (Universidade de Vigo).

Phylogenetic analyses

Partial LSU rRNA gene sequences obtained were inspected and aligned using MEGA X software (Kumar et al., 2018). Sequences from Yihiella yeosuensis (Jang et al., 2017) were used to root the tree. The original alignments for the LSU rDNA phylogeny (including gaps) consisted of 599 bp. Best evolutionary models for maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic analyses were estimated using the model selection tool in MEGA X software, and Tamura-Nei model (Tamura & Nei, 1993) was selected. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Joining and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites [5 categories ($\gamma = 0.4116$)]. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. This analysis involved 46 nucleotide sequences. All positions with less than 95% site coverage were eliminated, i.e., fewer than 5% alignment gaps, missing data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position (partial deletion option). The final dataset contained 492 positions.

Additionally, a Bayesian inference (BI) phylogenetic analysis was carried out by sampling across the entire GTR model space using Mr. Bayes v3.2 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001). The program parameters were statefreqpr, dirichlet (1,1,1,1); nst, mixed; and rates, gamma. Phylogenetic analyses involved two parallel analyses, each with four chains. Starting trees for each chain were selected randomly using the default values in Mr. Bayes. The number of generations used in these analyses was 1,000,000. Posterior probabilities were calculated from every 100th tree sampled after loglikelihood stabilization (burn-in phase). All final split frequencies were < 0.02. The two methods rendered similar topologies. Phylogenetic trees used ML/BI for the LSU rDNA, with bootstrap values (indicated as percentages) and posterior probabilities, in each case. Net mean p-distances between clades were calculated using MEGA X. Thus, no corrections for multiple substitutions at the same site, substitution rate biases (e.g. differences in the transitional and transversional rates), or differences in evolutionary rates among sites were considered (Nei & Kumar, 2000).

RESULTS

Molecular analysis

Phylogenetic analyses based on LSU rDNA (D1-D2 regions; Fig. 1) confirmed that strain LPCc022 belonged to a well-supported clade including Biecheleria brevisulcata K. Takahashi & Iwataki (ML: 83%, BI: 0.96). However, relationships among other Biecheleria species and several genera of the family Suessiaceae (such **Biecheleriopsis** as Ansanella, and Pelagodinium), were only partially resolved in some cases. For instance, the clade corresponding with Biecheleria baltica Moestrup, Lindberg & Daugbjerg displayed low statistical support (BI method) regarding B. cincta (Siano, Montresor & Zingone) Siano and B. pseudopalustris (J. Schiller) Moestrup, Lindberg & Daugbjerg.

Furthermore, these species were unresolved in the partial LSU phylogeny and belonged to the same clade, which only showed strong statistical support with BI posterior probabilities. Other Suessiaceae species were split in distinct clades with moderate to strong statistical support, both with ML bootstrap values (74-100) and BI posterior probabilities (0.86-1.00). *Biecheleria baltica* and *B. cincta* were the closest sequences to *B. brevisulcata* (based on net mean p-distances) ordered as follows: *B. baltica* (0.004) and *B. cincta* (0.006).



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of the D1-D2 LSU rDNA obtained by BI model showing the relationships among the *Biecheleria brevisulcata* strain from Buenos Aires coastal waters and strains from other places around the world. Numbers on branches are bootstrap percentages (n = 1,000) and posterior probabilities (n = 1,000,000) after ML and BI analyses, respectively. Values lower than 60%/0.60 were not considered representative in these analyses and shown by hyphens, respectively.

Morphological analysis

Biecheleria brevisulcata K. Takahashi & Iwataki (Fig. 2A-I).

Reference. Takahashi et al., 2014: 56, figs 1-24; Choi et al., 2021: 578, fig. 1U-Y.

Cells were spherical to ellipsoidal, mushroomshaped, dorsoventrally slightly flattened, 8.2-15.2 µm length (average 11.4 ± 2.2), 6.4-13.7 µm width (average 9.8 ± 2.0), 1.2-1.4 length/width (average 1.2 ± 0.1) (n=30). The epicone was slightly conic and wider than the antapically bilobed hypocone (Fig. 2A, C-E, G-I). Cingulum was placed in the equatorial part of the cell, descendent by about more than one cingular width (Fig. 2D), and sulcus was deep, wider towards the distal end (Fig. 2A, C, D). Chloroplasts were vellow-brown, peripherally located (Fig. 2A-E), with 2 to 6 pyrenoids (Fig. 2A, E black arrowhead). The nucleus was large, situated in the epicone and reaching the cingulum (Fig. 2E, F, n). Stigma was large, placed in the anterior end of the sulcus (Fig. 2G, I white arrowheads). The thecal plates were subtly reveled by calcofluor staining (Fig. 2H, I).

Distribution. *B. brevisulcata* was found in marine coastal waters of Mar Azul (Buenos Aires Province), this is the first record of the species from Argentina and from South-western Atlantic waters.

GenBank accession number. OQ597031.

DISCUSSION

Molecular comparison

The molecular data obtained in the present study confirmed the presence of *B. brevisulcata* in the Argentine Sea based on partial LSU rDNA sequencing and the phylogenetic analysis of strain LPCc022. Genetic distances among the different species of *Biecheleria* are rather small, and their phylogenetic relationships are better resolved using ITS rDNA regions than LSU or SSU rDNA (e.g. Moestrup et al., 2009a; Raho et al., 2018). Nevertheless, in the case of *B. brevisulcata*, its molecular identification just employed partial LSU rDNA sequences (D1-D3 regions; Takahashi et al., 2014). Moreover, these authors could only distinguish the suessioid genera Biecheleria and Biecheleriopsis using molecular data (LSU rDNA; 518 unambiguously aligned positions), given their strong morphological similarity except for subtle differences in the third row of cingular vesicles at the cingular margin in dorsal view, zigzag line in Biecheleria, and straight line in Biecheleriopsis (Takahashi et al., 2014). Therefore, the LSU rDNA based-phylogeny elaborated in the present work followed a similar approach to these authors and other studies (Takahashi et al., 2014, 2015; Jang et al., 2017; Raho et al., 2018), to identify the studied strain as B. brevisulcata and depict its relative position to other Biecheleria species and several members of the family Suessiaceae. Finally, it worths to be mentioned that among the nine currently accepted species of Biecheleria (Guiry & Guiry, 2022), only five of them were available for the phylogenetic analyses. Three of the missing taxa are those of freshwater nature (B. aesculus (Baumeister) Moestrup, B. cestocoetes (R.H. Thompson) Moestrup and B. ordinata (Skuja) Moestrup), excluded in the morphological comparisons and also absent in the GenBank genetic database.

The species B. halophila (Biecheler) Moestrup, Daugbjerg (=Gymnodinium Lindberg & halophilum Biecheler) erected by Biecheler (1952) and transferred by Moestrup et al. (2009a), could not be included in the phylogeny. Its molecular characterization is still pending this given that it has not been isolated again since its original description (see commentary given by Moestrup et al., 2009a: 203). The sequence EF205019 of *Wolozynskia halophila* (Biecheler) Elbrächter & Kremp (sensu Kremp et al., 2005) corresponds to Biecheleria baltica, not to B. halophila (Moestrup et al., 2009a).

Comparison of morphometric and morphologic features

The genus *Biecheleria* was characterized based on the following morphological data: apical elongated vesicle (AEV), several chloroplasts, eyespot type E (Moestrup & Daugbjerg, 2007),

DARWINIANA, nueva serie 11(1): 337-346. 2023



Fig. 2. Light and fluorescence microscopy of *Biecheleria brevisulcata*. Strain LPCc022. Scale bars = 10 μ m. **A**, cell in ventral view, note posterior flagellum. Black arrowheads show pyrenoids. **B**, fluorescent micrographs of the same cell, showing the chloroplasts. **C**, **D**, cells in ventral view showing cingular displacement and sulcus wider towards the distal end. **E**, cell in ventral view in deep focus showing a large nucleus (n) and pyrenoids (black arrowheads). **F**, fluorescent micrographs of a cell stained with calcofluor, showing the nucleus (n) and chloroplasts. **G**, cell showing a large stigma towards the anterior end of the sulcus (white arrowhead). **H**, **I**, different foci of the same cell in ventral tilted view revealing presence of thecal plates. Note stigma (white arrowhead). Color version at https://www.ojs.darwin.edu.ar/ index.php/darwiniana/article/view/1138/1304

more than six latitudinal series of amphiesmal vesicles, and resting cyst spherical with numerous spines or bristles, and molecular data (Moestrup et al., 2009a). Unfortunately, in the present work SEM examination could not be carried out due to the loss of the studied strain of *B. brevisulcata*.

Up to date there are 9 recognized species of *Biecheleria* (Guiry & Guiry, 2022). Of these *B. halophila*, *B. cincta* and *B. brevisulcata* are marine (Moestrup et al., 2009a; Balzano et al., 2012; Takahashi et al., 2014, respectively), *B. baltica* is brackish (Moestrup et al., 2009a), and *B. tirezensis* S. Fraga, N. Raho, J. P. Abad & I. Marín is athalassic (Raho et al., 2018). The four other species, *B. pseudopalustris* (Moestrup et al., 2009a), *B. aesculus*, *B. cestocoetes* and *B. ordinata* (Moestrup & Calado, 2018) are freshwater and will be excluded of the comparison with the target species.

B. brevisulcata was compared with other marine, brackish and athalassic species (Table 1). The analysis with light microscopy allowed to determine that all the species of the latter group are similar or almost similar in cell-shape, morphological relation epicone/hypocone and sulcus/cingulum, morphology and localization of the chloroplasts and general aspect. B. brevisulcata presented more similarity in cell size with B. cincta and B. tirezensis, the smaller species, than with B. baltica and B. halophila, the larger species (Table 1). A differential feature between B. brevisulcata and the other species of this group was the location of the nucleus (Table 1). The nucleus was situated in the epicone, reaching the cingulum, in B. brevisulcata (this study, fig. 2E, F, H; Takahashi et al., 2014, fig. 2; Choi et al. 2021, fig. 1v), while it occupied most of the cell (slightly displaced towards the epicone) in B. cincta (Siano et al., 2009, fig. 36), or was situated in the dorsal side of the epicone in B. tirezensis (Raho et al., 2018: fig. 2). The position of the nucleus of the specimens of strain GSND01 of B. cincta from East China Sea showed by Luo et al. (2013, fig. 1 a) better corresponds to B. brevisulcata than B. cincta. Consistently, Raho et al. (2018: 110) pointed out in their analysis about secondary structures of nuclear ITS2 rDNA, that the strain GSND01 of B. cincta (Luo et al., 2013) probably should be considered a B. brevisulcata strain.

In the case of *B. baltica* (=*Woloszynskia* halophila (Biecheler) Elbrächter & Kremp according to Moestrup et al., 2009a) the nucleus was located in the center of the cell, often appearing slightly displaced towards the apex (Kremp et al., 2005, fig. 1a as *Woloszynskia* halophila) and in case of *B. halophila* (=*Gymnodinium* halophilum) was located in the centre towards dorsal side (Moestrup et al., 2009a: 217, fig. 44, reproduced from the protologue of *G. halophilum*).

Additionally, species are different according to the environments where they inhabit. B. tirezensis was isolated from Tirez pond, Spain, an athalassic hyperhaline environment with quite different properties from those from which all the other Biecheleria species live in (Raho et al., 2018). B. baltica and B. halophila were isolated from the Baltic Sea and characterized by pertaining to cold waters, but *B. baltica* was typically present in full-strength seawater at 7-14°C while B. halophila was typically found in brackish water at 0-6°C (Moestrup et al., 2009a). The marine *Biecheleria* species with the widest known distribution are B. brevisulcata and B. cincta. The former was reported from Tsuruoka and Sakata, Yamagata, Sea of Japan, and Nagayo, Nagasaki, East China Sea, Japan (Takahashi et al., 2014) and Yongho, Bay of Busan, Strait of Korea, South Korea (Choi et al., 2021), East China Sea (Hu et al., 2022) previous to the current report in temperate coastal waters of Mar Azul (Argentine Sea, Argentina). Instead, B. cincta has been found in temperate waters of Mare Chiara, Tyrrhenian Sea, Italy (Siano et al., 2009), Shiwha Bay, Yellow Sea, Korea (Kang et al., 2011), and from cold polar waters of Beaufort Sea, Artic Ocean (Balzano et al., 2012).

In conclusion, molecular and morphological characterization of strain LPCc022 confirmed the first record of the genus *Biecheleria* and the species *B. brevisulcata* in the Argentine Sea, and as far as we can determine, for the Southwest Atlantic Ocean. The current knowledge about woloszynskioid dinoflagellates will benefit from ongoing sampling efforts and the isolation of new strains, to better understand their relevance and diversity in the region.

Table 1. Comparison of *Biecheleria brevisulcata* with species described for marine, brackish and athalasic environments based on characters observed with light microscopy. References: **a**, this study; **b**, Takahashi et al. (2014); **c**, Choi et al. (2021); **d**, Hu et al. (2022); **e**, Moestrup et al. (2009a); **f**, Siano et al. (2009); **g**, Balzano et al. (2012); **h**, Kang et al. (2011); **i**, Raho et al. (2012); nd, no data; * measured data from the quoted literature.

	B. brevisulcata	B. baltica	B. halophila	B. cincta	B. tirezensis
Cell length	8 2-15 2 a	17.0-35.0	10.0-27.0	9.0-15.0 f	9.0-15.0
(um)	10 5-18 0 b	17.0 55.0	10.0 27.0	8 2-13 8 h	9.0 15.0
(µIII)	11 2-15 2 c			0.2 15.0 1	
	7 2-9 2 d				
Cell width	64-137a	12 0-32 0	13.0-22.0	90-125 f	9.0-12.5
Cell widdi	9.0-16.5 h	12.0 52.0	15.0 22.0	6 9-13 2 h	9.0 12.5
	97-1396			0. <i>J</i> -1 <i>J</i> .2 H	
	5 8-8 9 d				
Length/width	1.2-1.4 a	nd	nd	nd	nd
Cell shape	spherical to ellipsoidal a ,	spherical or ellipsoid	globular or ellipsoid	almost spherical f, h	almost spherical
1	b, c, d		с I	1 /	1
	mushroom shape a , b , d				
Epicone /	epicone wider than	epicone almost equal	epicone equal to	epicone hemispherical,	epicone slightly
hypocone	bilobed hypocone a , b ,	or slightly larger than	larger, rarely smaller	hypocone is slightly	taller and wider
relation	c, d	hypocone	than hypocone	bilobed f	than the hypocone
Cingulum	median, descendent by	descendent, displaced	descendent with	descendent,	deep and
0	more than one cingular	approximately one	a long finger-like	displaced about once	descending,
	width a	cingular width, with	projection extending	its width f	displaced by about
	median, descendent by	a short, finger-like	from the right-hand	descendent.	one width
	one and a half cingular	extension projects	side of the epicone	displaced by 0.2-0.4	
	width b	from the right-hand	at the junction of the	cell length h	
	median or slightly lower.	side of the epicone at	cingulum and the	U	
	descendent by one and a	the confluence of the	sulcus		
	half cingular width c. d*	cingulum and the sulcus			
Sulcus	wider towards the	extended to the antapex,	nd	deep, wider at the	deep and wider
	posterior end a , b , c , d	markedly concave in		antapex f, h	at the antapex
	1 , , , , ,	this region.		1 /	narrowing towards
		e			the cingulum
Chloroplasts	yellow-brown, located	golden-brown	golden-brown,	yellow-brown,	yellow-brown
-	towards periphery a , b ,	-	located towards	placed towards	peripherally
	c, d		periphery*	periphery f, g, h	distributed
Pyrenoids	2 to 6 pyrenoids a , b , c ,	nd	nd	several, visible in the	Several, visible in
	mainly below the nucleus b			cytoplasm f	the cytoplasm
Nucleus	situated in the epicone and	located centrally, often	central and dorsal	occupying most of	situated in the
	reaching the cingulum a	appearing slightly		the cell, slightly	dorsal side of the
	occupying most part of	anterior		displaced in the	epicone
	the epicone and reaching			epicone f	
	the cingulum*, b , c			central part of the	
	middle or slightly upper			cell h	
	part of the hypocone, not				
	visible* d				
Stigma	large, placed in the	nd	nd	bright-orange,	bright-orange,
	posterior end of the			positioned in the	positioned in the
	sulcus a			ventral side of the	ventral side of the
	placed near the sulcus b , c			hypocone f	hypocone along
					the right side of the
					sulcus
Environment	marine a , b , c , d	brackish, cold waters	marine, cold waters	marine f	athalassic,
		0-6°C	7-14°C		euryhaline and
					eurythermal
Strain from	Mar Azul, Buenos Aires	Baltic Sea	Tvärminne, Baltic	MareChiara (MC),	Tirez pond,
	Province, Argentine Sea a		Sea	Tyrrhenian Sea	Castilla-La
	Tsuruoka, Yamagata, Sea of		Finland	(Mediterranean Sea),	Mancha, Spain
	Japan, Nagayo, Nagasaki,			Italy f	
	East China Sea b			Beaufort Sea, Artic	
	Yongho, Bay of Busan,			Ocean g	
	Strait of Korea c			Shiwha Bay, Yellow	
	East China Sea d			Sea, Korea h	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank two anonymous reviewers for helping us to improve the original manuscript. This study was partially supported by grants from the Universidad Nacional de La Plata 11/N 863 with the contribution of the Dirección Provincial de Pesca, Ministerio de Desarrollo Agrario (Provincia de Buenos Aires), and by the projects CCVIEO and DIANAS (CTM 20178-6066-R) from the Instituto Español de Oceanografía.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Balzano, S.; P. Gourvil, R. Siano, M. Chanoine, D. Marie, S. Lessard, D. Sarno & D. Vaulot. 2012. Diversity of cultured photosynthetic flagellates in the northeast Pacific and Arctic Oceans in summer. *Biogeosciences* 9: 4553-4571. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-4553-2012
- Benico, G.; K. Takahashi, W. M. Lum, A. T. Yñiguez, R. V. Azanza, S. C. Y. Leong, P. T. Lim & M. Iwataki. 2019. First report of *Biecheleriopsis adriatica* in Bolinao, Northwestern Philippines and its wide distribution in Southeast Asia and adjacent waters. *Philippine Journal of Natural Sciences* 24: 34-41.
- Biecheler, B. 1952. Recherches sur les Péridiniens. Bulletin Biologique de France et de Belgique, Supplément 36: 1-149.
- Choi, H.; M. Cho & S. Kim. 2021. New records of five taxa of unarmored and thin-walled dinoflagellates from brackish and coastal waters of Korea. *Korean Journal* of Environmental Biology 39: 573-590. DOI: https://doi. org/10.11626/KJEB.2021.39.4.573
- Fensome, R. A.; F. J. R. Taylor, G. Norris, W. A. S. Sarjeant, D. I. Wharton & G. L. Williams. 1993. A classification of living and fossil dinoflagellates. *Micropaleontology, Special Publication 7*. Hanover, Pennsylvania: Sheridan Press.
- Freudenthal, H. D. 1962. Symbiodinium gen. nov. and Symbiodinium microadriaticum sp. nov., a zooxanthella: taxonomy, life cycle, and morphology. The Journal of Protozoology 9: 45-52. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.1962.tb02579.x
- Fritz, L. & R. E. Triemer. 1985. A rapid simple technique utilizing Calcofluor White M2R for the visualization of dinoflagellate thecal plates. *Journal of Phycology* 21: 662-664. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1985.00662.x
- Guiry, M. D. & G. M. Guiry. 2022. AlgaeBase. World-wide electronic publication, National University of Ireland, Galway. https://www.algaebase.org/search/species/?name=Biecheleria [searched on December 16 2022].

- Hu, Z.; X. Song, J. Wang, Z. Tao, Y. Sun, Y. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Deng, L. Shang, Z. Chai & Y. Tang. 2022. Reviving and characterizing three species of dinoflagellate cysts dormant for about 70 years in the East China Sea: *Biecheleria brevisulcata*, *Biecheleriopsis adriatica*, and *Scrippsiella donghaienis*. *Journal of Oceanology and Limnology* 40: 2292-2311. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00343-022-2122-1
- Huelsenbeck, J. P. & F. Ronquist. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17: 754-755. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754
- Jang, S. H.; H. J. Jeong, Ø. Moestrup, N. S. Kang, S. Y. Lee, K. H. Lee & K. A. Seong. 2017. *Yihiella yeosuensis* gen. et sp. nov. (Suessiaceae, Dinophyceae), a novel dinoflagellate isolated from the coastal waters of Korea. *Journal of Phycology* 53: 131-145. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ jpy.12486
- Jang, S. H.; S. I. Na, M. J. Lee & Y. D. Yoo. 2022. Assessing the utility of mitochondrial gene markers in the family Suessiaceae (Dinophyta) with phylogenomic validation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 177: 107625. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2022.107625
- Jeong, H. J.; S. H. Jang, Ø. Moestrup, N. S. Kang, S. Y. Lee, E. Potvin & J. H. Noh. 2014. Ansanella granifera gen. et sp. nov. (Dinophyceae), a new dinoflagellate from the coastal waters of Korea. Algae 29: 75-99. DOI: https://doi. org/10.4490/algae.2014.29.2.075
- Kang, N. S.; J. H. Jeong, Y. Du Yoo, E. Y. Yoon, K. H. Lee, K. Lee & G. Kim. 2011. Mixotrophy in the newly described phototrophic dinoflagellate *Woloszynskia cincta* from western Korean waters: feeding mechanism, prey species and effect of prey concentration. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology* 58: 152-170. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1550-7408.2011.00531.x
- Kremp, A.; M. Elbrächter, M. Schweikert, J. L. Wolny & M. Gottschling. 2005. Woloszynskia halophila (Biecheler) comb. nov.: a bloom-forming cold-water dinoflagellate cooccurring with Scrippsiella hangoei (Dinophyceae) in the Baltic Sea. Journal of Phycology 41: 629-642. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2005.00070.x
- Kumar, S.; G. Stecher, M. Li, C. Knyaz & K. Tamura. 2018. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35: 1547-1549. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msy096
- Lenaers, G.; L. Maroteaux, B. Michot & M. Herzog. 1989. Dinoflagellates in evolution. A molecular phylogenetic analysis of large subunit ribosomal RNA. *Journal* of *Molecular Evolution* 29: 40-51. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1007/BF02106180

- Lohmann, H. 1908. Untersuchungen zur Feststellung des vollständigen Gehaltes des Meeres an Plankton. *Wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen, Abtheilung Kiel* 10: 129-370.
- Luo, Z.; W. Yang, B. Xu & H. Gu. 2013. First record of *Biecheleria cincta* (Dinophyceae) from Chinese coasts, with morphological and molecular characterization of the strains. *Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology* 31: 835-845. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00343-013-2315-8
- Moestrup, Ø. & A. J. Calado. 2018. Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa. Freshwater Flora of Central Europe, Volume 6: Dinophyceae. Berlin: Springer Spektrum.
- Moestrup, Ø. & N. Daugbjerg. 2007. On dinoflagellate phylogeny and classification, in J. Brodie & J. Lewis (eds.), Unravelling the Algae: The Past, Present, and Future of Algae Systematics, pp. 215-230. Systematics Association Special Volume Series, 75. Boca Raton, FL.: CRC Press.
- Moestrup, Ø.; K. Lindberg & N. Daugbjerg. 2009a. Studies on woloszynskioid dinoflagellates. IV: the genus *Biecheleria* gen. nov. *Phycological Research* 57: 203-220. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1835.2009.00540.x
- Moestrup, Ø.; K. Lindberg & N. Daugbjerg. 2009b. Studies on woloszynskioid dinoflagellates V. Ultrastructure of *Biecheleriopsis* gen. nov., with description of *Biecheleriopsis* adriatica sp. nov. *Phycological Research* 57: 221-237. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1835.2009.00541.x
- Montresor, M.; G. Procaccini & D. K. Stoecker. 1999. Polarella glacialis gen. nov., sp. nov. (Dinophyceae): Suessiaceae are still alive! Journal of Phycology 35: 186-197. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.1999.3510186.x
- Nei, M. & S. Kumar. 2000. Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Raho, N.; S. Fraga, J. P. Abad & I. Marín. 2018. *Biecheleria tirezensis* sp. nov. (Dinophyceae, Suessiales), a new halotolerant dinoflagellate species isolated from the athalassohaline Tirez natural pond in Spain. *European Journal of Phycology* 53: 99-113. DOI: https://doi.org/10.10 80/09670262.2017.1386328

- Richlen, M. L. & P. H. Barber. 2005. A technique for the rapid extraction of microalgal DNA from single live and preserved cells. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 5: 688-691. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01032.x
- Ruiz-de la Torre, M. C.; M. L. Núñez Resendiz, K. M. Dreckmann, A. Senties, M. E. Zamudio-Resendiz & A. Escarcega-Bata. 2022. *Biecheleriopsis adriatica* (Dinophyceae: Suessiaceae): the first record from the Eastern Pacific Ocean. *Botanica Marina* 65: 371-378. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/bot-2022-0035x
- Siano, R.; W. H. C. F. Kooistra, M. Montresor & A. Zingone. 2009. Unarmoured and thin-walled dinoflagellates from the Gulf of Naples, with the description of *Woloszynskia cincta* sp. nov. (Dinophyceae, Suessiales). *Phycologia* 48: 44-65. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2216/08-61.1
- Siano, R.; M. Montresor, I. Probert, F. Not & de C. Vargas. 2010. *Pelagodinium* gen. nov. and *P. béii* comb. nov., a dinoflagellate symbiont of planktonic Foraminifera. *Protist* 161: 385-399. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. protis.2010.01.002
- Takahashi, K.; C. Sarai & M. Iwataki. 2014. Morphology of two marine woloszynskioid dinoflagellates, *Biecheleria brevisulcata* sp. nov. and *Biecheleriopsis adriatica* (Suessiaceae, Dinophyceae), from Japanese coasts. *Phycologia* 53: 52-65. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2216/13-192.1
- Takahashi, K.; Ø. Moestrup, R. W. Jordan & M. Iwataki. 2015. Two new freshwater woloszynskioids Asulcocephalium miricentonis gen. et sp. nov. and Liocephalium pseudosanguineum gen. et sp. nov. (Suessiaceae, Dinophyceae) lacking an apical furrow apparatus. Protist 166: 638-658. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. protis.2015.10.003
- Tamura, K. & M. Nei. 1993. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 10: 512-526. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040023